

9100181

HHE UNITED STAYLES OF AMIERICA

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE PRESENTS SHALL COME;

Pioneer Gi-Bred International, Inc.

Withereas, there has been presented to the

Secretary of Agriculture

an application requesting a certificate of protection for an alleged novel variety of sexually reproduced plant, the name and description of which are contained in the application and exhibits, a copy of which is hereunto annexed and made a part hereof, and the various requirements of LAW in such cases made and provided have been complied with, and the title thereto is, from the records of the Plant Variety Protection Office, in the applicant(s) indicated in the said copy, and WHEREAS, upon due examination made, the said applicant(s) is (are) adjudged to be entitled to a certificate of plant variety protection under the LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen years from the date of this grant, subject to the payment of the required fees and periodic replenishment of viable basic seed of the variety in a public repository as provided by LAW, the right to exclude others from selling the variety, or offering it for sale, or reproducing it, or importing it, or exporting it, or using it in producing a hybrid or different riety therefrom, to the extent provided by the Plant Variety Protection Act at. 1542, as amended, 7 u.s.c. 2321 et seq.)

SOYBEAN

192311

In Lestimony Waterrot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Taxisty Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C. this 29th day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ninety-three.

An

Kenneth Houns

Commissioner

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service

Secretary of Agriculture (

Courselly of Organisms (

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Office, OIRM, Room 404-W, Washington, D.C. 20250; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (DMB #0581-0055). Washington, 20250.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURAL MARKE	AGRICULTURE		T Glass At 1		opplication is required in order to
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIET		TION C	EDTIEICATE	de ce	etermine if a plant variety protection intificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421).
(Instructions on		HON C	EKTIFICATE		formation is held confidential until artificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).
NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)		2.	TEMPORARY DESIGNATION EXPERIMENTAL NO.	ON OR 3.	VARIETY NAME
Pioneer Hi-Bred International	, Inc.			-	9231
4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP)		5.	PHONE (include area cod	9)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
700 Capital Square				PV	PO NUMBER
400 Locust Street			515-270-341	4	9100181
Des Moines, IA 50309				Date	
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME	7. FAMILY NAME	(Botanical)			May 16, 1991
Glycine max	Legumi	inosae		ľ	
8. CROP KIND NAME (Common Name)			OF DETERMINATION		Filing and Examination Fee:
Soybean		Ju	ly, 1984		•
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORGA	NIZATION (Corporate				
Corporation					Certificate Fee:
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION			FINCORPORATION		Date
Iowa			1926		· 1 Tamesames 7 3000
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO					
James E. Miller, Ph.D. 7301 NW 62nd Ave., P.O. Box 8			len Mitchel		
Johnston, IA 50131-0085		es Moi	nes, IA 50	309	Locust Street
14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED (Foi			PHONE (Include	area code):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
a. Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety.	en film o				
b. X Exhibit B, Novelty Statement. c. X Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety.					
d. Exhibit D, Additional Description of Variety.			•		and the second of the second o
e. Exhibit E, Statement of the Basis of Applicant's Ownersh	nip.		(15/15/	01
Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Date Seed Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,150) made payable to "				/3/13/	<u>91</u> .
15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SO				EED? (See se	ction 83(a) of the Plant Variety
YES (If "YES," answer items 16 and 17 be			kip to item 18 below)		WASHING BREEDER OFFRA
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?	10 17. IF	-			N BEYOND BREEDER SEED?
L YES L NO	<u> </u>	FOUNDA	TION	REGISTERE	D CERTIFIED
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THE V	ARIETY IN THE U.S.?				
YES (If "YES," through Plant Variety Protection Act	Paleni Act.	Give date:	.)		
[X] NO					
19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OR I	MARKETED IN THE U	I.S. OR OTHE	R COUNTRIES?		
YES (If "YES," give names of countries and dates)					
Name of the second seco			and the		and the state of t
 The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic so request in accordance with such regulations as may be app 		ty will be f	urnished with the ap	plication a	nd will be replenished upon
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this	s sexually reprod	luced nove	l plant variety, and	believe(s)	that the variety is distinct,
uniform, and stable as required in section 41, and is entitle Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation her		-			it variety Protection Act.
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))	CAPAC	ITY OR TITLE			DATE
James E. Miller			de Soybean		5-8-91
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT (Owner(s))		Searc	n Director		DATE
O	John Au			:	-

Revised: 09/01/92

Attachment: 9231 Soybean (March, 1991)

Exhibit A: Variety 9231 is an F4-derived variety originating from a complex crossing scheme involving the following parents: A3127, Century, Williams 82. The F4 population from which 9231 was derived was advanced to the F4 generation by modified single-seed-descent. The F4:5 progeny rows from which 9231 was selected were grown in Iowa during the summer of 1984. It was in September, 1984, that 9231 was determined to be a stable and unique line. Subsequently, 9231 has undergone six years of extensive testing and purification, and has been observed by the breeder to be uniform and stable for all plant traits from generation-to-generation, with

Two acres of 9231 (breeders seed) were grown in 1989. Fifty acres of parent seedstock (foundation seed equivalent) were grown in 1990.

Exhibit B: Variety 9231 is most similar to 9241, A2234, A2543, Century 84, FFR253, CX298 and CX329.

no evidence of variants.

Variety 9231 has tan pods which distinguishes it from both 9241 and Century 84 which have brown pods. 9231 has brown pubescence, whereas 9241 has grey pubescence. 9231 is significantly earlier than 9241 (Table 9), and 9241 is significantly earlier than Century 84 (Table 10).

Variety 9231 is significantly shorter than A2234 (Table 1) and has high peroxidase activity, whereas A2234 has low peroxidase activity. 9231 is significantly earlier than A2543 (Table 2), and is also significantly taller (Table 11). Variety 9231 is both shorter (Table 3) and earlier (Table 4) than FFR253.

Variety 9231 is not tested with CX298 due to the difference in maturity. However, variety 9231 is significantly earlier than 9273 (Table 5), which is in turn significantly earlier than CX298 (Table 6).

Variety 9231 is not tested with CX329, also due to large differences in maturity. However, variety 9231 is significantly earlier than 9293 (Table 7), which in turn is earlier than CX329 (Table 8).

The difference in maturity of 9293 and CX329 is not significant at the 0.05 level. However, variety 9231 is significantly earlier than the group II variety CX298. Given the group II variety CX298 is earlier than the group III variety CX329, variety 9231 must be earlier than CX329.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
LIVESTOCK, MEAT, GRAIN & SEED DIVISION
PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE
BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

EXHIBIT C

OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY SOYBEAN (Glycine max L.)

NAME OF APPLICANT(S)		VARIETY NAME	
:	TEMPORARY DESIGNATION		
Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc.		9231	-
ADDRESS (Street and No., or R.F.D. No., City, State, and Zip Cod 700 Capital Square 400 Locust Street Des Moines, IA 50309	e)	FOR OFFICIA PVPO NUMBER 910	
Choose the appropriate response which characterizes the var in your answer is fewer than the number of boxes provided, Starred characters * are considered fundamental to an adequate when information is available.	place a zero in the first box w	hen number is 9 or less	(e.g., 0 9).
1. SEED SHAPE: L W 1 = Spherical (L/W, L/T, and T/W ratios = < 1.2) 3 = Elongate (L/T ratio > 1.2; T/W = < 1.2)		L/W ratio > 1.2; L/T ratio _/T ratio > 1.2; T/W > 1.	
2. SEED COAT COLOR: (Mature Seed)			
1 = Yellow 2 = Green 3 = Brown	4 = Black 5 = Other (Specify)	
3. SEED COAT LUSTER: (Mature Hand Shelled Seed)			
1 = Duil ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton') 2 = Shiny ('Nebso	y'; 'Gasoy 17')		
4. SEED SIZE: (Mature Seed)			
1 7 Grams per 100 seeds			
7 5. HILUM COLOR: (Mature Seed)			
6 1 = Buff 2 = Yellow 3 = Brown 4	= Gray 5 = Imperfect Blac	k 6 = Black 7	7 = Other (Specify)
6. COTYLEDON COLOR: (Mature Seed)			·
1 = Yellow 2 = Green			
7. SEED PROTEIN PEROXIDASE ACTIVITY:			
2 1 = Low 2 = High			
8. SEED PROTEIN ELECTROPHORETIC BAND:			
1 = Type A (SP1 ^a) 2 = Type B (SP1 ^b)			
9. HYPOCOTYL COLOR:		:	
1 = Green only ('Evans'; 'Davis') 2 = Green with 3 = Light Purple below cotyledons ('Beeson'; 'Pickett 71') 4 = Dark Purple extending to unifoliate leaves ('Hodgson'; '	bronze band below cotyledons ("V Coker Hampton 266A")	loodworth'; 'Tracy')	
10. LEAFLET SHAPE:			
3 1 = Lanceolate 2 = Oval 3 = Ovate	4 = Other (Specify)		

FORM LMGS-470-57 (6-83)

(Edition of 2-82 is obsolete.)

. 11.	LEAFL	ET SIZE:
	2	1 = Small ('Amsoy 71'; 'A5312') 2 = Medium ('Corsoy 79'; 'Gasoy 17') 3 = Large ('Crawford'; 'Tracy')
12.	LEAF	COLOR:
	3	1 = Light Green ('Weber'; 'York') 2 = Medium Green ('Corsoy 79'; 'Braxton') 3 = Dark Green ('Gnome'; 'Tracy')
★ 13.	FLOWE	R COLOR:
	2	1 = White 2 = Purple 3 = White with purple throat
★ 14.	POD CC	DLOR:
	1	1 = Tan 2 = Brown 3 = Black
★ 15.	PLANT	PUBESCENCE COLOR:
	2	1 = Gray 2 = Brown (Tawny)
16.	PLANT	TYPES:
	3	1 = Slender ('Essex'; 'Amsoy 71') 2 = Intermediate ('Amcor'; 'Braxton') 3 = Bushy ('Gnome'; 'Govan')
± 17.	PLANT	HABIT:
	3	1 = Determinate ('Gnome'; 'Braxton') 2 = Semi-Determinate ('Will') 3 = Indeterminate ('Nebsoy'; 'Improved Pelican')
★ 18.	MATUF	RITY GROUP:
	5	1 = 000
± 19.	DISEAS	SE REACTION: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptible; 2 = Resistant)
		ERIAL DISEASES:
· *	0	Bacterial Pustule (Xanthomonas phaseoli var. sojensis)
*		Bacterial Blight (Pseudomonas glycinea)
: Î		Wildfire (Pseudomonas tabaci)
. ^	FUNGA	AL DISEASES:
*	0	Brown Spot (Septoria glycines)
		Frogeye Leaf Spot (Cercospora sojina)
*	0	Race 1 0 Race 2 0 Race 3 0 Race 4 0 Race 5 Other (Specify)
	0	Target Spot (Corynespora cassiicola)
	0	Downy Mildew (Peronospora trifoliorum var. manshurica)
	0	Powdery Mildew (Microsphaera diffusa)
*	0	Brown Stem Rot (Cephalosporium gregatum)
. :	0	Stem Canker (Diaporthe phaseolorum var. caulivora)

FORM LMGS-470-57 (6-83)

·		<u> </u>			9100181	
19. DISE	ASE REACTION	1: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Suscep	otible; 2 = Resist	ant) (Continued)	710070	
FUI	NGAL DISEASE	S: (Continued)	•			
* [0	Pod and Sten	n Blight <i>(Diaporthe phaseolorum</i> var	; sojae)			
0	Purple Seed (Stain <i>(Cercospora kikuchii)</i>				
0	Rhizoctonia	Root Rot (Rhizoctonia solani)				
	Phytophthor	a Rot (<i>Phytophthora megasperm</i> a va	ar. <i>sojae)</i>			
★ 2	Race 1	2 Race 2 2 Race 3	2 Rac	e 4 2 Race 5	2 Race 6 2 Race 7	
2	Race 8	2 Race 9 1 Other (S	— Ra Specify) Ra	ce 19	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
VIB	: RAL DISEASES:			1.2		
0	1	obacco Ringspot Virus)	٠,			
	1					
* 0	i İ	c (Bean Yellow Mosaic Virus)				
^ [0		ic (Cowpea Chlorotic Virus)				
	Pod Mottle (E	Bean Pod Mottle Virus)				
* [0]	Seed Mottle (Soybean Mosaic Virus)				
NEN	MATODE DISEA	ISES:				
. —	Soybean Cyst	Nematode (Heterodera glycines)				
* 1	Race 1	1 Race 2 1 Race 3	1 Rac	Other (S	oecify)	
	Lance Nemate	ode (Hoplolaimus Colombus)				
* 0	Southern Roc	ot Knot Nematode (Meloidogyne inc	ognita)	•		
★ 0	Northern Roo	ot Knot Nematode (<i>Meloidogyne Ha</i>	ola)		·	
0	Peanut Root I	Knot Nematode (<i>Meloidogyne arena</i>	ria)		• •	
0	Reniform Ner	natode (Rotylenchulus reniformis)				
	OTHER DISE	ASE NOT ON FORM (Specify):				
		•	·		·	
	OLOGICAL RE	SPONSES: (Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1	l = Susceptible;	2 = Resistant)		
* []	Iron Chlorosis	on Calcareous Soil				
	Other (Specify	//			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
21. INSEC	T REACTION:	(Enter 0 = Not Tested; 1 = Susceptil	ble; 2 = Resistan	t)		
0	Mexican Bean	Beetle (Epilachna varivestis)				
0	Potato Leaf H	opper (Empoasca fabae)				
	Other (Specify	/)				
22. INDICA	ATE WHICH VA	RIETY MOST CLOSELY RESEMB	LES THAT SUE	MITTED.		
	RACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	T	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	<u>-</u>
Plant Sh	аре	9241		Geed Coat Luster	9241	<u></u>
Leaf Sha	ape	A2234		Seed Size	9241	 ·
Leaf Co	lor	A2234	,	Seed Shape	9241	
Leaf Siz	е	A2234		Seedling Pigmentation	9241	
				•		

23. GIVE DATA FOR SUBMITTED AND SIMILAR STANDARD VARIETY: Paired Comparison Data

VARIETY	NO. OF DAYS	PLANT LODGING	CM PLANT	LEAFLET SIZE		SEED CONTENT		SEED SIZE G/100	NO. SEEDS/
	MATURITY	SCORE	HEIGHT	CM Width	CM Length	% Protein	% Oil	SEEDS	POD
Submitted 9231	120.4	1.7	77.2			44.3	21.0	16.8	
9241 Name of Similar Variety	121.3	1.8	74.9			42.0	22.5	16.1	

PUBLICATIONS USEFUL AS REFERENCE AIDS FOR COMPLETING THIS FORM:

- 1. Caldwell, B.E., ed. 1973. Soybeans: Improvement, Production, and Uses. Amer. Soc. Agron. Monograph No. 16.
- 2. Buttery, B.R. and R.I. Buzzell. 1968. Peroxidase activity in seeds of soybean varieties. Crop Sci., 8: 722-725.
- 3. Hymowitz, T. 1973. Electrophoretic analysis of SBTI-A₂ in the USDA soybean germplasm collection. Crop Sci., 13: 420-421.
- 4. Payne, R.C. and L.F. Morris. 1976. Differentiation of soybean cultivars by seedling pigmentation patterns. J. Seed Technol. 1: 1-19.

Table 1. Variety 9231 (X1) vs 'A2234' (X2) for height in inches.

All observations are from plots planted using a randomized complete block design. Planted plot length was 21 feet, trimmed to 15 feet. Plot width was 4 30 inch rows, or 10 feet. Height was scored as the average height of the entire plot. All data was taken in 1990.

REP	х1	Х2	x1-x2	(X1-X2)	2					
1 2	28	37	-9	81						
	33	35	-2	4	SD*	×2=	108	3.438,	/240	
3	29	31	-2	4	SD=		0.6	57218		
4 5	25	30	-5	25	D/SI)=	4	4.742	**	
5	29	29	0	0	DF=			15		
6	32	32	0	0						
7	33	39	-6	36	n=			16		
8	34	35	-1	1						
9	34	38	-4	16	ave	9231	_ =	31.1	inches	
10	31	37	-6	36	ave	A2234	1 =	34.3	inches	
11	32	37	-5	25	•					
12	32	33	-1	1						
13	28	33	~5	25						
14	28	32	-4	16	•					
15	36	36		0						
16	34	35	-1	1						
sum	498	549	-51	271						
ave	31.13	34.31		16.9375						

Table 2. Variety 9231 (X1) vs 'A2543' (X2) for maturity in days.

All observations are from plots planted using a randomized complete block design. Planted plot length was 21 feet, trimmed to 15 feet. Plot width was 4 30 inch rows, or 10 feet. Maturity was scored as the number of days from planting until 95% of the pods in the plot were mature. All data was taken in 1990.

1 2 3 4	127 128 113 112	129 129 115 116	-2 -1 -2 -4	4 1 4 16	SD**2= SD= D/SD= DF=	4.75/12 0.62915 -3.5762 *
					n=	4
sum ave	480 120	489 122.3	-9 -2.25	25 6.25		1 = 120.0 days 3 = 122.3 days

Ammendment to PVP Application No. 9100181

121 - 2.89

118.1

ave

Table 2. Variety '9231' vs variety 'A2543' for maturity in days.

All observations are from plots planted using a randomized complete block design. Planted plot length was 21 feet, trimmed to 15 feet. Plot width was 4 30 inch rows, or 10 feet. Maturity was scored as the number of days from planting until 95% of the pods in the plot were mature. Data was taken in the years indicated.

	-				•
1990	9231	A2543	v1 v1	(X1-X2)**2	
REP	x 1	x 2	XI-XZ	(AI-AZ) ~ ~ Z	
1 2 3 4	127 128 113 112	129 129 115 116	-2 -1 -2 -4	4 1 4 16	SD**2= (25 - (9**2)/4) / 4 * 3 SD**2= 0.39583 SD= 0.62915 t = 2.25/0.62915 t = 3.5762 * significant 5% level DF= 3
		·			n = 4 groups of individuals
sum ave	480 120	489 122.3 -	-9 -2.25	25	ave maturity of 9231 = 120 days ave maturity of A2543 = 122.3 days
1991	·				
REP	9231 X1	A2543 X2	x1-x2	(X1-X2)**2	
1 2 3 4 5	112 111 141 111 108	118 116 142 115 109	-6 -5 -1 -4 -1	36 25 1 16 1	SD**2= (79 - (17**2)/5) / 5 * 4 SD**2= 1.06 SD= 1.02956 t = 3.4/1.02956 t = 3.3024 * significant 5% level DF= 4
					n = 5 groups of individuals
sum ave	583 116.6	600 120	-17 -3.4	79	ave maturity of $9231 = 116.6$ days ave maturity of $A2543 = 120$ days
Summa	rv				
D ammor.	_	- 0 = 40	-		
REP	9231 X1	A2543 X2		(X1-X2)**2	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	127 128 113 112 112 111 141 111 108	129 129 115 116 118 116 142 115 109	-2 -1 -2 -4 -6 -5 -1 -4	4 1 4 16 36 25 1 16 1	SD**2= (104 - (26**2)/9)/ (9 * 8) SD**2= 0.40123 SD= 0.63343 t = 2.89/0.63343 t = 4.5607 ** significant 1% level DF= 8 n = 9 groups of individuals ave maturity of 9231 = 118.1 days
	1063	1089	-26	104	ave maturity of A2543 = 121 days
sum	T 0 0 2	TOOD	20	704	

Table 3. Variety 9231 (X1) vs 'FFR253' (X2) for height in inches.

All observations are from plots planted using a randomized complete block design. Planted plot length was 21 feet, trimmed to 15 feet. Plot width was 4 30 inch rows, or 10 feet. Height was scored as the average height of the entire plot. All data was taken in 1990.

REP	X1	x2	x1-x2	(X1-X2)2		
1 2 3 4	33 34 34 31	42 39 39 40	-9 -5 -5 -9	81 25 25 81	SD**2= SD= D/SD= DF=	16/12 1.1547 -6.0622 **
					n=	4
sum ave	132 33	160 40	-28 -7	212 53		1 = 33.0 inches 53= 40.0 inches

Table 4. Variety 9231 (X1) vs 'FFR253' (X2) for maturity in days.

All observations are from plots planted using a randomized complete block design. Planted plot length was 21 feet, trimmed to 15 feet. Plot width was 4 30 inch rows, or 10 feet. Maturity was scored as the number of days from planting until 95% of the pods in the plot were mature. All data was taken in 1990.

REP	x 1	х2	x1-x2	(x1-x2)2	·		*
1 2 3 4	127 128 113 112	131 130 119 118	-4 -2 -6 -6	16 4 36 36	SD**; SD= D/SD: DF=	0.95743	
					n=	4	
sum ave	480 120 1	498 24.5	$\begin{array}{c} -18 \\ -4.5 \end{array}$	92 23	ave	9231 = 120.0 da FFR253 = 124.5 da	

Table 5. Variety 9231 (X1) vs '9273' (X2) for maturity in days.

All observations are from plots planted using a randomized complete block design. Planted plot length was 21 feet, trimmed to 15 feet. Plot width was 4 30 inch rows, or 10 feet. Maturity was scored as the number of days from planting until 95% of the pods in the plot were mature. All data was taken in 1990.

REP	X1	x 2	X1-X2	(X1-X2)	2	
1 2	123 121	123 122	0 -1	0	SD**2= SD=	30.5455/110 0.52696
3	111	115	-4	16	D/SD=	-3.1053 *
4 5	112 112	114 115	-2 -3	4 9	DF=	10
6	125	125	0	0	n=	11
7 8	113 133	115 138	-2 -5	4 25	ave 9231	= 120.9 days
9	136	136	0	0	ave 9273	
10 11	121 123	121 124	0 -1	0		
7. 7.	123	124	<u>-</u> ±	T		
sum ave	1330 120.9	1348 122.5	-18 -1.64	60 5.45455		

Table 6. '9273' (X1) vs 'CX298' (X2) for maturity in days.

All observations are from plots planted using a randomized complete block design. Planted plot length was 21 feet, trimmed to 15 feet. Plot width was 4 30 inch rows, or 10 feet. Maturity was scored as the number of days from planting until 95% of the pods in the plot were mature.

REP	X 1	x 2	x1-x2	(X1-X2)2				
. 1	130	133	-3	9	SD**2	;= 3	30.9286/	182
2	131	135	-4	16	SD=	(0.41223	
3	121	125	-4	16	D/SD=	: -	-9.5299	**
4	119	125	-6	36	DF=		13	•
5	122	126	-4	16			* .	
6	120	125	-5	25	n=		14	
7	116	118	-2	4				
8	114	117	-3	9	ave	9273	= 120.6	davs
9	112	114	-2	4			= 124.5	
10	115	117	-2	4				-4
11	120	125	-5	25			N. Edward	
12	121	124	-3	9		1		
13	$\frac{124}{124}$	129	- 5	25				•
14	123	130	- 7	49				
			•			A.S		
sum	1688	1743	-55	247				
ave	120.6			17.6429				* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
		· · · · -	T					

Table 7. Variety 9231 (X1) vs '9293' (X2) for maturity in days.

All observations are from plots planted using a randomized complete block design. Planted plot length was 21 feet, trimmed to 15 feet. Plot width was 4 30 inch rows, or 10 feet. Maturity was scored as the number of days from planting until 95% of the pods in the plot were mature. All data was taken in 1990.

REP	x 1	x2	X1-X2	(X1-X2)2		•
1 2 3 4	127 128 113 112	130 130 118 116	-3 -2 -5 -4	9 4 25 16	SD**2= SD= D/SD= DF=	5/12 0.6455 -5.4222 *
					n=	4
sum ave	480 120	494 123.5	$-14 \\ -3.5$	54 13.5		= 120.0 days = 123.5 days

Table 8. Variety '9293' (X1) vs 'CX329' (X2) for maturity in days.

All observations are from plots planted using a randomized complete block design. Planted plot length was 21 feet, trimmed to 15 feet. Plot width was 4 30 inch rows, or 10 feet. Maturity was scored as the number of days from planting until 95% of the pods in the plot were mature. All data was taken in 1989.

REP	X1	x 2	x1-x2	(X1-X2)2		
1 2 3 4 5	121 122 116 112 122 127	133 124 118 118 124 128	-12 -2 -2 -6 -2 -1	144 4 4 36 4 1	SD=	88.8333/30 1.72079 -2.4214 NS 0.05 5
sum ave	720 120	745 124.2	-25 -4.17	193 32.1667		= 120.0 days = 124.2 days

minimum t value for significance at 0.05 level = 2.571

Table 9. '9231' vs '9241' for days to maturity.

All observations are from plots planted using a randomized complete block design. Planted plot length was 21 feet, trimmed to 15 feet. Plot width was 4 30 inch rows, or 10 feet. Maturity was scored as the number of days from planting until 95% of the pods in the plot were mature. Data was taken in 1991.

REP	9231 X1			(X1-X2)**2	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 1 1 3 1 4 1 5 6 7 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	111 106 108 113 110 111 111 111 110 112 119 108 110 107 130 130 118 132 108 111 108	117 116 117 116 118 117 117 116 117 112 114 111 110 130 130 130 118 132 110 110	-11 -8 -4 -6 -7 -6 -6 -6 -4 -4 -2 -5 -3 -0 0 -1 -10 -2	25 121 64 16 36 49 25 36 36 25 16 16 16 4 25 9 0 0 0 4 1 100 4	SD**2= (673 - (109**2)/26) / (26 * 25) SD**2= 0.33237 SD= 0.57651 t = 4.19/0.57651 t = 7.2718 ** significant .1% level DF= 25 n = 26 groups of individuals ave maturity of 9231 = 112.5 days ave maturity of 9241 = 116.7 days
sum ave	2924 112.5	3033 116.7	-109 -4.19	673	

1019 1047 -28

127.4 130.9 -3.5

130

sum

ave

Table 10. Variety '9241' vs variety 'Century 84' for maturity in days.

All observations are from plots planted using a randomized complete block design. Planted plot length was 21 feet, trimmed to 15 feet. Plot width was 4 30 inch rows, or 10 feet. Maturity was scored as the number of days from planting until 95% of the pods in the plot were mature. Data was taken in the years specified.

1988					
REP	9241 X1		:	(X1-X2)**2	
1 2		121	-2	4	SD**2= (33 - (11**2)/4) / (4 * 3) SD**2= 0.22917
3 4		134		9 4	SD= 0.22917 SD= 0.47871 t = 2.75/0.47871 t = 5.7446 ** significant 1% level DF= 3
					n = 4 groups of individuals
sum ave	498 124.5	509 127.3	$-11 \\ -2.75$	33	ave maturity of 9241 = 124.5 days ave maturity of Century 84 = 127.25 days
1990					
REP	9241 X1		_	(X1-X2)**2	
1 2 3 4	138 137 123 123		-8	25 64 4 4	SD**2= (97 - (17**2)/4) / (4 * 3) SD**2= 2.0625 SD= 1.43614 t = 4.25/1.43614 t = 2.9593 * significant 5% level DF= 3
			i.		n = 4 groups of individuals
sum ave		538 134.5	$\begin{array}{c} -17 \\ -4.25 \end{array}$	97	ave maturity of 9241 = 130.3 days ave maturity of Century 84 = 134.5 days
Summar REP	У 9241 X1	Centur 84 X2		/v1 v2**2	
1	119	121	-2	(X1-X2)**2	SD**2= (130 - (28**2)/8) / (8 * 7)
2 3 4 5 6 7	118 131 130 138 137 123	122 134 132 143 145 125	-4 -3 -2 -5 -8 -2	16 9 4 25 64 4	SD**2= 0.57143 SD= 0.75593 t = 3.5/0.75593 t = 4.6301 ** significant 1% level DF= 7
8	123	125	-2	4	n = 8 groups of individuals

ave maturity of 9241

ave maturity of Century 84 =

127.4 days

130.9 days

Table 11. Variety '9231' vs variety 'A2543' for height in inches.

All observations are from plots planted using a randomized complete block design. Planted plot length was 21 feet, trimmed to 15 feet. Plot width was 4 30 inch rows, or 10 feet. Height was scored as the average height of the entire plot. Data was taken in 1991.

	9231	A254	3		
REP	X1	X2	x1-x2	(X1-X2)**2	
1 2 3 4 5	30 31 31 31 28	28 27 28 29 26	2 4 3 2 2	4 16 9 4 4	SD**2= (37 - (13**2)/5) / (5 * 4) SD**2= 0.16 SD= 0.4 t = 2.6/0.4 t = 6.5 ** significant 1% level DF= 4
sum ave	151 30.2	138 27.6	13 2.6	37	<pre>n = 5 groups of individuals ave height of 9231 = 30.2 inches ave height of A2543 = 27.6 inches</pre>

Exhibit E:

Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc. has purchased sole rights to variety 9231 from originator, for which it solicits a certificate of protection. Permission was granted to file application in this manner per a telphone coversation on May 8, 1991, between Mary Helen Mitchell, Pioneer Corporate Legal Counsel, and Kenneth Evans, Commissioner, Plant Variety Protection Office.